



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,735	05/21/1999	SHU YUEN RON HUI	12364.1US11	8231

23552 7590 12/30/2002

MERCHANT & GOULD PC
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUYEN T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2832

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/316,735

Applicant(s)

Hui et al.

Examiner

Tuyen T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2832

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 18, 2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8, 27-29, and 36-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8, 27-29, and 36-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 3, 27 and 36-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 54-110424 in view of Machiels [EPO 0 147 499].

JP 54-110424 discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific operating frequency of the transformer device and its relationship with the resonant frequency of the transformer.

Machiels discloses a tuned circuit usable in a coreless transformer having a resonant frequency within a range of a few MHz to a few hundred MHz.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use frequency relationship design of Machiels for the transformer of JP 54-110424 for the purpose of optimizing energy efficiency.

3. Claims 4-6 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 54-110424 in view of Machiels as applied to claims 3 above, and further in view of Commander et al. [US 4,748,532].

Art Unit: 2832

JP 54-110424, as modified, discloses the instant claimed invention except for the transformer being modulated by a low frequency switching signal.

Commander et al. discloses a transformer being modulated by a low frequency switching signal [see abstract.]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to operate the transformer of JP 54-110424, as modified, to use a high frequency carrier signal modulated by a low frequency switching signal, as suggested by Commander et al., for the purpose of controlling oscillation.

4. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 54-110424 , as modified, as applied to claims 4 above, and further in view of Miyoshi et al. [US 3,866,086].

JP 54-110424, as modified, discloses the instant claimed invention except for a capacitance being connected across the secondary winding for adjusting resonance frequency.

Miyoshi et al. discloses a transformer [figure 7] having a capacitance [29] being connected across the secondary winding for adjusting resonance frequency.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add a capacitance about the secondary winding of JP 54-110424, as modified, as suggested by Miyoshi et al., for the purpose of controlling resonance.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-8, 27-29 and 36-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group is (703)872-9318 before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-9319.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TTN *TTN*

July 12, 2002

Tuyen T. Nguyen